

C.C.R.C.
ALPHA TOWER
BIRMINGHAM BI TT

CAUL GRANT
JC8360 C2
HMPP THE VERNS
PORTLAND
DORSET DT5 1EQ

REF: 00439/2005

10TH JUNE 2005

DEAR COMMISSION,

1 I WISH TO SUBMIT FURTHER EVIDENCE OF THE OVERWHELMING PREJUDICE AND BIAS WHICH LED TO MY UNLAWFUL CONVICTION.

2 ALSO SUBMITTED IS EVIDENCE, TYPICAL, OF THE GENERAL RESPONSES I HAVE RECEIVED WHENEVER I'VE SOUGHT LEGAL ASSISTANCE. I HAVE ALSO INCLUDED FURTHER EVIDENCE OF MY APPEAL TO HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, THE PRIME MINISTER TONY BLAIR AND THE FORMER HOME SECRETARY DAVID BLUNKETT.

3 IT IS NECESSARY FOR ME TO MAKE IT ABSOLUTELY, WITHOUT ANY DOUBT, CLEAR THAT I FULLY APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT IT IS NOT THE REMIT OF THE COMMISSION TO RE-TRY CASES OR DECIDE "GUILT" OR "INNOCENCE" AND THAT YOUR CONSIDERATIONS ARE FOCUSED ON THE ISSUES OF EVIDENCE WHICH MAY POINT TO A REAL POSSIBILITY OF A MISARRIAGE OF JUSTICE.

4 I AM AWARE THAT ISSUES AND IMPLICATIONS OF MY APPLICATION WILL TEST THE INTEGRITY OF THE INDEPENDENCE AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE COMMISSION.

5 IT IS MY VIEW THAT THE COMMISSION IS THE FINAL BRANCH

5 OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM WHICH CAN SAVE THE ENTIRE SYSTEM FROM COLLAPSE. ANY SYSTEM, RELIED UPON BY THE PUBLIC, WHICH LACK THE PUBLIC'S CONFIDENCE IS SURELY DOOMED.

6 I NOW TURN TO THE NEW EVIDENCE OF BIAS WHICH EXISTED AT MY TRIAL AND ALSO PREVENTED OR DENIED ME THE RIGHT OF APPEAL.

7 FOLLOWING MY UNLAWFUL CONVICTION AND SENTENCE, I WAS SUBJECTED TO A CONFISCATION HEARING. IT WAS DETERMINED AND AGREED IN COURT I BENEFITTED THE SUM OF £85,000.00 FROM IMPORTATION OF CANNABIS OVER A PERIOD OF 17 MONTHS.

8 HOWEVER, THAT MONEY WAS USED TO SUPPORT MY CAMPAIGN FOR JUSTICE, MY FAMILY AND SUPPORTERS OF THE CAMPAIGN. DESPITE THE FACT THAT ALL NECESSARY INVESTIGATIONS CONFIRMED I HAD NO ASSETS AND THEREFORE COULD NOT MEET ANY COURT ORDER DEMANDING THE ABOVE SUM, THE JUDGE MADE AN ORDER THAT I SHOULD PAY THAT AMOUNT OR SERVE A FURTHER 18 MONTHS IMPRISONMENT IN DEFAULT.

9 THE JUDGE DECIDED THAT THIS MONEY WAS IN "UNDISCLOSED BANK ACCOUNTS", BUT HAVE FAILED TO TELL ME WHERE THE BANK ACCOUNTS ARE, AND I HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO HUMAN IDEA HOW ANYONE CAN DISPROVE AN ALLEGATION OF HIDDEN BANK ACCOUNTS.

10 I UNDERSTAND AND ACCEPT THAT THE LAW ALLOWS COURTS TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS REGARDING THE BENEFIT FROM "CRIMINAL" ACTIVITY AND THAT PROOF ONLY NEED BE ON THE BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES, HOWEVER, I ALSO UNDERSTAND AND ACKNOWLEDGE

THAT THE LAW STIPULATES THAT NO ASSUMPTION SHOULD BE MADE WHICH IS LIKELY TO CAUSE AN INJUSTICE.

CONSIDERING I HAVE NO IDEA WHERE THE UNDISCLOSED BANK ACCOUNTS ARE, CONSIDERING I COULD STILL BE PERSUED FOR PAYMENT AFTER SERVING 18 MONTHS IN PRISON AND CONSIDERING THE FACT THAT A DEFAULT SENTENCE IS DESIGNED TO PREVENT DEFENDANTS THINKING THEY CAN SERVE THE TIME AND COME OUT TO A LITTLE "NEST EGG" THE BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES MUST SUPPORT THE ONLY CONCLUDING FACT THAT I DO NOT HAVE £85,000.00 IN UNDISCLOSED BANK ACCOUNTS OR ANYWHERE ELSE, AND THEREFORE, ANY DEFAULT SENTENCE FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO PAY IS AN OBVIOUS INJUSTICE.

TURNING TO EVIDENCE ALREADY SUBMITTED - THE TRIAL JUDGE'S SUMMING UP IS LITTERED WITH MISDIRECTIONS AND MISREPRESENTATIONS OF THE LAW, I AM SURE THE COMMISSION WILL IDENTIFY THESE FOR ITS SELF, HOWEVER, SOME PARTS ARE IN NEED OF HIGHLIGHTING.

ON PAGE 19 LINE 8-12 OF THE SUMMING UP IS THE JUDGE'S FINAL INDIRECT DIRECTION TO CONVICT.

AS IS POINTED OUT IN THE SUMMARY WRITER'S SUMMARY "THE ISSUE FOR THE JURY WAS WHETHER THE APPLICANT WAS JUSTIFIED IN ACTING AS HE DID"

NONETHELESS THE JUDGE WITHDREW THE ISSUES FROM THE JURY AND TOLD THEM THEY ARE IRRELEVANT. HE WENT ON TO TELL THE JURY, IF THEY ACQUITTED ME NO OTHER JURY OR COURT CAN STOP ME OR CONVICT ME OF ANYTHING.

THERE IS NO RIGHT MINDED JURY WHO WOULD ALLOW AN

ACCUSED PERSON TO GO FREE WHO COULD NOT EVER BE CONVICTED OF ANY ALLEGATION.

17 IN RELATION TO THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEAL CRIMINAL DIVISION DENYING ME THE RIGHT OF APPEAL, THE JUDGMENT DOES NOT REFLECT THE OVERWHELMINGLY SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS OF APPEAL SUBMITTED WITH MY APPLICATION SEEKING LEAVE.

18 IT IS PLAINLY OBVIOUS THAT THE JUDGES DID NOT READ MY GROUNDS AND THEREFORE REACHED THEIR DECISION BASED ON THE UNRELIABLE OVERVIEW OF THE SUMMARY WRITER AND THE FACT THAT I WAS NOT PERMITTED TO BE PRESENT, OR BE REPRESENTED, TO MAKE ORAL SUBMISSIONS.

19 FINALLY, I UNDERSTAND THAT WHENEVER A MONARCH IS CROWNED A BIBLE IS PRESENTED WITH THE FOLLOWING WORDS:

"WE PRESENT YOU WITH THIS BOOK, THE MOST VALUABLE THING THIS WORLD AFFORDS. HERE IS WISDOM. THIS IS THE ROYAL LAW. THESE ARE THE LIVELY ORACLES OF GOD"

20 I THEREFORE BRING YOUR ATTENTION TO DEUTERONOMY CHAPTER 16 V 18-20: "APPOINT JUDGES AND OFFICIALS FOR EACH OF YOUR TRIBES IN EVERY TOWN THE LORD YOUR GOD IS GIVING YOU, AND THEY SHALL JUDGE THE PEOPLE FAIRLY.

DO NOT PERVERT JUSTICE OR SHOW PARTIALITY. DO NOT ACCEPT A BRIBE, FOR A BRIBE BLINDS THE EYES OF THE WISE AND TWISTS THE WORDS OF THE RIGHTEOUS. FOLLOW JUSTICE AND JUSTICE ALONE, SO THAT YOU MAY LIVE AND POSSESS THE LAND THE LORD YOUR GOD IS GIVING YOU"

21 THE RULE OF LAW BASED ON A LONG ESTABLISHED CHRISTIAN TRADITION AND HISTORICAL TEACHINGS OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OF MORAL AND ETHICAL PRINCIPLES WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY BE COMPROMISED BY A BAD DECISION FROM THE COMMISSION. A BAD DECISION WOULD ~~HO~~ ENDORSE THE JUDGE'S VIOLATION OF "THE ROYAL LAW"

I PATIENTLY AWAIT THE NEXT STAGE OF THIS APPLICATION.

22 ENCLOSED: COPIES OF CONFISCATION ORDER, LETTERS FROM SOLICITORS, HOME OFFICE AND LETTER FROM C.L.R.C CONFIRMING CONTACT WITH TONY BLAIR AND DAVID BLUNKETT.

IN THE MEANTIME I AM ATTEMPTING TO SECURE THE ASSISTANCE OF A SOLICITOR.

YOURS FAITHFULLY



C. GRANT.

P.S. IT IS FAR BETTER TO BE A THIEF WITH HONOUR THAN A MAN WITHOUT INTEGRITY.