

CAUL GRANT
JL8360 C2-07
HMP WANDSWORTH
P.O. BOX 757
HEATHFIELD ROAD
LONDON SW18 3HS

31ST July 2005

DEAR BROTHER AHMED,

HOW ARE YOU BOTH, I HOPE WELL.

I HOPE TO BRING A LITTLE CHEER TO YOUR HEART.

IT HAS NOW BECOME ABUNDANTLY CLEAR WHY THE
EUROPEAN COURT REJECTED OUR APPLICATION.

UNDER ARTICLE 13 OF THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION, STATES
ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY TO
ANY VIOLATION OF THE RIGHTS WITHIN THE CONVENTION.
ALL OF ANY SUCH REMEDY MUST BE USED OR EXHAUSTED
BEFORE THE EUROPEAN COURT WILL ACCEPT ANY
APPLICATIONS. THE IDEA, IS TO GIVE THE STATE AN
OPPORTUNITY TO RESOLVE THE COMPLAINT BEFORE IT
REACHES EUROPE.

WHAT WAS NOT CLEAR TO ME THEN, BUT IS VERY MUCH
CLEAR NOW, IS THAT THE CRIMINAL CASES REVIEW
COMMISSION IS SUPPOSED TO BE INDEPENDENT OF THE
COURTS AND THE STATE AND IS SET UP TO STATES BE
AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY TO INVESTIGATE SUSPECTED CASES
OF MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE. AS THIS AVENUE WAS
NOT PURSUED BEFORE ~~FILED~~ FILING MY APPLICATION

Oh, yeah!
THE
INCOMPETENT
C/CRC

✱

THE EUROPEAN COURT, QUITE RIGHTLY UNDER THE EXISTING RULES, REJECTED THE APPLICATION.

WE CAN ASSUME, WITHOUT MUCH DOUBT, THAT THE EUROPEAN COURT WOULD HAVE CONTACTED THE BRITISH AUTHORITIES AND THEY WOULD HAVE INFORMED THE COURT THAT THEY HAD REFERRED THE MATTER TO THE C.C.R.C AND THAT THE C.C.R.C HAD CONTACTED ME, SO IT WAS UP TO ME TO PURSUE MY COMPLAINT THROUGH THEM.

IF THE C.C.R.C FAILS TO REFER THE MATTER BACK TO THE COURT OF APPEAL, THEN THE CASE WOULD BE APPLICABLE TO THE EUROPEAN COURT.

EVERY TIME THEY DO SOMETHING TO ADD MORE PRESSURE TO ME I TURN TO THE BOOKS WHICH YOU HAVE PROVIDED, SO I REVISITED ARTICLE 13 AND IT'S THERE IN PLAIN ENGLISH. PREVIOUSLY I HAD NOT GIVEN IT MUCH ATTENTION BECAUSE IT'S NOT ENSHRINED IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT, NONETHELESS THE UNITED KINGDOM IS STILL BOUND BY IT.

THIS IS VERY GOOD NEWS, BECAUSE ULTIMATELY THE UK COURTS WILL HAVE TO RULE AGAINST THEMSELVES OR THE EUROPEAN COURT WILL HAVE TO RULE AGAINST THE UK.

FOR US, IT DOES NOT MATTER WHO RULES, BUT FOR THE UK, IT IS BETTER THEY RULE AGAINST THEMSELVES.

AS YOU YOU HAVE ALREADY NOTED, SOMETHING OR SOMEONE MUST GIVE WAY, IT IS JUST A QUESTION OF TIME.

NO ONE CAN BE WRONG AND STRONG, WE HAVE ALWAYS HAD TRUTH ON OUR SIDE AND GOD IS THE TRUTH SO WE

ARE GUIDED BY THIS SPIRIT. ALL WE REQUIRE TO ENDURE
IS COURAGE, FAITH AND LOVE AND WE HAVE ALL OF
THEM.

YOU HAVE SHOWN TREMENDOUS COURAGE AND STRENGTH AND
I COULD DO NO LESS, YOU HAVE HELD ON TO HOPE
WHEN EVERYTHING SEEMED HOPELESS. MAN CAN DELAY
THE EVENTS OF TIME, BUT CANNOT DELAY TIME ITSELF.

I BELIEVE WE ARE COMING TO THE END OF OUR JOURNEY
BUT THE BEGINNING OF A NEW DAWN.

TRUTH AND JUSTICE MUST PREVAIL BECAUSE, IF THERE
IS NO JUSTICE THERE CAN BE NO GOD.

I REMAIN A HUMBLE SERVANT OF TRUTH AND WILL
FOLLOW WHEREVER IT LEADS ME.

YOURS WITH BROTHERLY LOVE

BRO. PAUL.

P.S. ONE OF THE FOUNDING FATHERS OF THE EUROPEAN
CONVENTION WHO BECAME ONE OF THE FIRST JUDGES
OF THE COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND WHO WAS ONCE
A MEMBER OF THE FRENCH RESISTANCE, PIERRE-HENRI
TEITGEN, SAID THE FOLLOWING:-

"I THINK WE CAN NOW UNANIMOUSLY CONFRONT 'REASONS
OF STATE' WITH THE ONLY SOVEREIGNTY WORTH DYING
FOR, WORTHY IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF BEING
DEFENDED, RESPECTED AND SAFEGUARDED - THE SOVEREIGNTY
OF JUSTICE AND OF THE LAW"

TAKEN FROM HUMAN RIGHTS LAW AND
PRACTICE - LORD LESTER