

Ms A. Wilson
CCRC
BIRMINGHAM

Caul GRANT
JCS360
HMP THE VERNE

12TH JANUARY 2006

REF: 00439/05

FAXED.

DEAR Ms Wilson

IN ADDITION TO MY FINAL SUBMISSIONS I WOULD
LIKE TO SAY THE FOLLOWING.

THERE ARE BASIC MORAL PRINCIPLES WHICH DISTINGUISHES
THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RIGHT AND WRONG.

THESE MORAL PRINCIPLES ARE LONG ESTABLISHED
AND HAVE BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR OVER TWO
THOUSAND YEARS OF CHRISTIAN TRADITION IN
THIS COUNTRY AND AROUND THE WORLD.

A CHILD AS YOUNG AS 10 YEARS IS EXPECTED TO
KNOW AND UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
RIGHT AND WRONG.

THAT NO ONE CAN BE A JUDGE IN THEIR OWN CAUSE
IS A LONG ESTABLISHED MORAL AND LEGAL PRINCIPLE UPON
WHICH FAIRNESS DEPENDS, OTHERWISE IMPARTIALITY AND
INDEPENDENCE IS DENIED TO THE DETRIMENT OF
JUSTICE.

THE CRIMINAL APPEAL RULES 1968 MAKES IT A NECESSARY

REQUIREMENT FOR ALL PROCEEDINGS IN COURTS OF RECORD TO BE FULLY AND ACCURATELY RECORDED BY SHORTHAND NOTES OR MECHANICAL MEANS, THAT IS, A RECORDING OR NOTES OF ALL THE EVIDENCE AND SUMMING UP.

LORD JUSTICE MEGAW SAID THE FOLLOWING:

"THERE IS A VERY GREAT POSSIBILITY OF MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE IF THE PROVISION WHICH IS TRADITIONAL IN THESE COURTS OF A FULL AND ACCURATE NOTE OF BOTH EVIDENCE AND SUMMING UP IS FOR ANY REASON NOT AVAILABLE"

THERE IS NO VALID TRANSCRIPT OF MY APPLICATION SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL BECAUSE THE ADJUDICATORS ARE JUDGES IN THEIR OWN CAUSE AND HAVE SUPPRESSED MY EVIDENCE BY DENYING ME ACCESS TO COURT.

EVEN A BLIND MAN SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THIS.

PLEASE FIND ATTACHED DETAILS OF WHEN JUDGES ARE DISQUALIFIED FROM HEARING A PARTICULAR CASE.



C. GRANT 12TH JANUARY 2006.